wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Reyn	aldo Sarabia-Ulivarria	Case Number:09-3017M-003
present and was r	h the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), epresented by counsel. I conclude by a preefendant pending trial in this case.	, a detention hearing was held on February 11, 2009. Defendant was ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prepon	FINDI derance of the evidence that:	NGS OF FACT
	he defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
<b>⊠</b> T	he defendant, at the time of the charged of	fense, was in the United States illegally.
E	released herein, the defendant faces r nforcement, placing him/her beyond the jur r otherwise removed.	emoval proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs isdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
□ т	he defendant has no significant contacts in	the United States or in the District of Arizona.
☐ T to	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
П	he defendant has a prior criminal history.	
□ т	he defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
☐ T s	he defendant is an amnesty applicant but ubstantial family ties to Mexico.	has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
□ т	here is a record of prior failure to appear in	court as ordered.
□ т	he defendant attempted to evade law enfo	rcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
□ т	he defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
at the time of the	hearing in this matter, except as noted in the CONCLI	JSIONS OF LAW
1. T 2. N	here is a serious risk that the defendant wi o condition or combination of conditions wi DIRECTIONS RI	Il flee. Il reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  EGARDING DETENTION
a corrections facili appeal. The defer of the United State	ty separate, to the extent practicable, from p ndant shall be afforded a reasonable opport es or on request of an attorney for the Gove Jnited States Marshal for the purpose of ar	orney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour rnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the n appearance in connection with a court proceeding. THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS ORE deliver a copy of the Court.	DERED that should an appeal of this detent	ion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to trial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS FUR Services sufficien	THER ORDERED that if a release to a third tly in advance of the hearing before the Ditential third party custodian.	I party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria strict Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED	this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2009.	
		avid K. Duncan tates Magistrate Judge